



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 8

1595 Wynkoop Street
DENVER, CO 80202-1129
<http://www.epa.gov/region08>

Ref: 8P-W-WW

JUL 16 2013

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Colonel Clinton E. Crosier, Commander
United States Department of Air Force
460th Space Wing
Buckley Air Force Base
660 S. Aspen Street, MS 86
Aurora, Colorado 80011

Re: United States Department of Air Force, 460th
Space Wing, Buckley MS4 Permit, CO-
R042003

Dear Commander Crosier:

Enclosed is the Environmental Protection Agency National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit, CO-R042003 (and Statement of Basis), issued to the United States Department of Air Force, 460th Space Wing for the Buckley Air Force Base Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4). This permit shall become effective upon the date specified, unless, within thirty (30) days following the date of receipt of this permit, the United States Department of Air Force petitions the Environmental Appeals Board to review any conditions of this permit in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR § 124.19. If you decide to file a petition for review with the EAB, the petition must contain all information required by 40 CFR § 124.19(a). Additional information about the EAB requirements is available at the EAB's web site: <http://epa.gov/eab/>.

A petition submitted through the U. S. Postal Service (except by Express Mail) must be addressed to:

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Clerk of the Board, Environmental Appeals Board (MC 1103B)
Ariel Rios Building
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20460-0001

All documents that you hand-carry in person or that you arrange to have delivered by courier, Express Mail, or a non-U. S. Postal Service carrier (such as Federal Express), must be delivered to:


U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Clerk of the Board, Environmental Appeals Board

EPA-BAFB-00001066

1341 G. Street, N. W., Suite 600
Washington, D. C. 20005

If you have any questions regarding monitoring requirements, schedules, and permit limitations, please direct them to Amy Clark at (303) 312-7014 or clark.amy@epa.gov.

Sincerely,



Derrith R. Watchman-Moore
Assistant Regional Administrator
Office of Partnerships and Regulatory Assistance

Enclosures

cc: With Enclosures
Ms. Janet Kieler, Section Manager
Water Quality Division
Colorado Department of Public
Health and Environment

EPA-BAFB-00001067

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 8
1595 WYNKOOP STREET
DENVER, COLORADO 80202-1129

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE
NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

In compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act, as amended, (33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq; "the Act"), except as provided in Part 1.3 of this permit,

United States Department of the Air Force, 460th Space Wing

hereinafter "permittee", is authorized to discharge from all municipal separate storm sewer outfalls existing as of the effective date of this permit


to receiving waters which include East Tollgate Creek, Murphy Creek, Granby Ditch, and other associated waters of the United States within the exterior boundaries of Buckley Air Force Base, located at 39° 42' 30" N and 104° 45' 30" W in Aurora, Colorado,

in accordance with the conditions and requirements set forth herein.

This permit shall become effective **September 1, 2013**

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, **August 31, 2018**

Signed this 11th day of July



Authorized Permitting Official

Derrith R. Watchman-Moore, Assistant Regional Administrator
Office of Partnerships and Regulatory Assistance

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Coverage Under This Permit
 - 1.1. Permit Area
 - 1.2. Discharges Authorized Under This Permit
 - 1.3. Limitations on Permit Coverage

2. Stormwater Management Program
 - 2.1. General Requirements
 - 2.2. Public Education and Outreach on Stormwater Impacts
 - 2.3. Public Involvement and Participation
 - 2.4. Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
 - 2.5. Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control
 - 2.6. Post-construction Stormwater Management for New Development and Redevelopment
 - 2.7. Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

3. Monitoring, Recordkeeping and Reporting
 - 3.1. Monitoring
 - 3.2. Recordkeeping
 - 3.3. Annual Reports

4. Standard Permit Conditions
 - 4.1. Duty to Comply
 - 4.2. Continuation of the Expired Permit
 - 4.3. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense
 - 4.4. Duty to Mitigate
 - 4.5. Duty to Provide Information
 - 4.6. Other Information
 - 4.7. Signatory Requirements
 - 4.8. Property Rights
 - 4.9. Proper Operation and Maintenance
 - 4.10. Inspection and Entry
 - 4.11. Permit Actions
 - 4.12. Permit Transfers
 - 4.13. Anticipated Noncompliance
 - 4.14. State/Tribal Environmental Laws
 - 4.15. Severability
 - 4.16. Procedures for Modification or Revocation

5. Definitions

1. COVERAGE UNDER THIS PERMIT

1.1. Permit Area

This permit covers all areas of the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) within the exterior boundary of Buckley Air Force Base, except for the privatized housing area currently leased to Hunt Housing. Hunt Housing, LLC, and its successors shall be solely responsible for the sanitary sewer and storm systems under its operation and control. However, this permit does contain requirements for Buckley Air Force Base to provide public education and outreach materials within the privatized housing area(s) located within Buckley Air Force Base but not operated by the Air Force.

1.2. Discharges Authorized Under This Permit

1.2.1. During the effective dates of this permit, the permittee is authorized to discharge stormwater from the following areas, under the conditions of this permit:

1.2.1.1. All portions of the MS4 within the exterior boundaries of Buckley Air Force Base.

1.2.2. This permit also authorizes the discharge of stormwater commingled with flows contributed by process wastewater, non-process wastewater, and stormwater associated with industrial activity, provided that the stormwater is commingled only with those discharges set forth in Part 1.3 of this permit.

1.3. Limitations on Permit Coverage

1.3.1. Non-Stormwater Discharges. The permittee must prohibit all types of non-stormwater discharges into its MS4, except for allowable non-stormwater discharges described in Part 1.3.2.

1.3.2. Allowable Non-Stormwater Discharges. The following sources of non-stormwater discharges are allowed to be discharged into the MS4 unless the permittee determines they are significant contributors of pollutants. If the permittee identifies any of the following categories as a significant contributor of pollutants, the permittee must include the category as an illicit discharge (see Part 2.4):

- Discharges authorized by a separate NPDES permit;
- Discharges in compliance with instructions of an On-Scene-Coordinator pursuant to 40 CFR part 300 or 33 CFR 153.10(e);
- Water line flushing;
- Landscape irrigation;
- Diverted stream flows;
- Rising ground waters;
- Uncontaminated ground water infiltration;
- Uncontaminated pumped ground water;
- Discharges from potable water sources;
- Foundation drains;
- Air conditioning condensate;
- Irrigation water;
- Springs;
- Water from crawl space pumps;
- Footing drains;
- Lawn watering;
- Individual residential car washing;
- Flows from riparian habitats and wetlands;
- Dechlorinated swimming pool discharges;

- Street wash water;
 - Power washing where no chemicals are used;
 - Roof drains;
 - Fire hydrant flushings;
 - Non-storm water discharges resulting from a spill which are the result of an unusual and severe weather event where reasonable and prudent measures have been taken to minimize the impact of such discharge;
 - Emergency discharges required to prevent imminent threat to human health or severe property damage, provided that reasonable and prudent measures have been taken to minimize the impact of such discharges; and
 - Discharges or flows from fire fighting activities.
- 1.3.3. Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity. This permit does not authorize stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity as defined in 40CFR §122.26(b)(14)(i)-(ix) and (xi).
- 1.3.4. Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity. This permit does not authorize stormwater discharges associated with construction activity as defined in 40 CFR §122.26(b)(14)(x) or 40 CFR §122.26(b)(15).
- 1.3.5. Discharges that are causing or contributing to an exceedance of applicable numeric or narrative water quality standards. EPA will notify the permittee if its MS4 discharges are likely to cause or contribute to a water quality impairment, or whose discharges contribute directly or indirectly to a 303(d) listed waterbody. If EPA determines that discharges from the MS4 are causing or contributing to a water quality impairment, the permittee's stormwater management plan (SWMP) must include a section describing how the program will control the discharge of the pollutants of concern and ensure discharges from the MS4 will not cause or contribute to instream exceedances of the water quality standards. This documentation must specifically identify measures and Best Management Practices (BMPs) that will collectively control the discharge of the pollutants of concern. The permittee may be required to provide additional information to EPA to determine whether its discharge is causing or contributing to a water quality impairment.
- 1.3.6. Discharges of pollutants into waters which a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) has been either established or approved by EPA unless the discharge is consistent with that TMDL. The permittee must incorporate any conditions and requirements applicable to discharges from the MS4 into the Stormwater Management Program in order to remain eligible for permit coverage. EPA will notify the permittee if a TMDL has been developed that specifies a wasteload allocation (WLA) for discharges from the MS4. The notification will require the MS4 operator to assess and document whether the WLA is being met through implementation of existing stormwater control measures or if additional control measures are necessary. The notification may also include requirements to describe and document an implementation schedule for controls, calculations, and monitoring or other proof that show that the WLA is being met. This may involve an iterative process of controls and evaluation. All documentation related to these requirements must be included as part of the records for the SWMP.
- 1.3.7. Discharges that do not comply with Colorado's anti-degradation policy for water quality standards. Colorado's anti-degradation policy can be obtained from the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment or from its web site: <http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/op/regs/waterqualityregs.asp> (The anti-degradation rules are contained within Regulation 31 - Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water).
- 1.3.8. Discharges and discharge-related activities that affect endangered species. Coverage under this permit is available only if the permittee's stormwater discharges, allowable non-storm water discharges, and discharge-related activities are not likely to:

- Jeopardize the continued existence of any species that are listed as endangered or threatened ("listed") under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) or result in the adverse modification or destruction of habitat that is designated as critical under the ESA ("critical habitat"); or
- Cause a prohibited "take" of endangered or threatened species (as defined under Section 3 of the ESA and 50 CFR 17.3), unless such takes are authorized under sections 7 or 10 of the ESA.

"Discharge-related activities" include: activities which cause, contribute to, or result in stormwater point source pollutant discharges; and measures to control stormwater discharges, including the citing, construction, and operation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) to control, reduce, or prevent stormwater pollution.

1.3.9. Discharges that Affect Historical Properties. Coverage under this permit is available only if the permittee's stormwater discharges, allowable non-stormwater discharges, and discharge-related activities are:

- Not likely to affect a property that is listed or is eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places as maintained by the Secretary of the Interior; or
- In compliance with a written agreement with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) that outlines all measures the permittee will undertake to mitigate or prevent adverse effect to the historic property.

2. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP)

2.1. General Requirements

- 2.1.1. The permittee must continue to develop, implement, and enforce a SWMP. The SWMP must include management practices, control techniques, system design, engineering methods, and other provisions the permittee or EPA determines appropriate for the control of pollutants in discharges from the MS4.
- 2.1.2. The permittee must fully implement the SWMP; including meeting its measurable goals. Implementation should take place in approximate equal intervals throughout the permit and progress will be tracked in the annual report (see Part 3.3).
- 2.1.3. The SWMP must include each of the minimum control measures of Parts 2.2-2.7.
- 2.1.4. The permittee must conduct an annual review of the SWMP in conjunction with preparation of the annual report required under Part 3.3.
- 2.1.5. EPA may request documentation of the minimum control measures as required by the SWMP. EPA may review and subsequently notify the permittee that changes to the SWMP are necessary to:
- Address discharges from the MS4 that are causing or contributing to water quality impacts;
 - Include more stringent requirements necessary to comply with new Federal or State statutory or regulatory requirements;
 - Include other conditions deemed necessary by the EPA to comply with water quality standards, ESA related requirements, and/or other goals and requirements of the Clean Water Act (CWA); and/or
 - Address the SWMP requirements of the permit, if EPA determines that the permittee's current SWMP does not meet permit requirements.

- 2.1.5.1. EPA may request changes in writing and can require including a schedule to develop and implement the changes. The request will offer the permittee the opportunity to propose alternative program changes to meet the objectives of the requested modification.
- 2.1.6. Transfer of Ownership, Operational Authority, or Responsibility for SWMP Implementation. The permittee must implement the SWMP on all new areas added to the permittee's MS4 (or for which the permittee becomes responsible for implementation of storm water quality controls) as expeditiously as practicable, but not later than one year, unless deemed longer by the permitting authority, from addition of the new areas. Implementation may be accomplished in a phased manner to allow additional time for controls that cannot be implemented immediately.
- 2.1.7. If EPA notifies the permittee that changes are necessary to ensure that stormwater discharges are not causing or contributing to a violation of water quality standards, the notification will offer the permittee an opportunity to propose alternative program changes to meet the objectives of the requested modification. Following this opportunity, the permittee must implement any required changes according to the schedule set by EPA.
- 2.2. Public Education and Outreach on Stormwater Impacts. The permittee must:
 - 2.2.1. Continue an education and outreach program for Buckley AFB which targets project managers, contractors, tenants, residents, and environmental staff in an effort to provide education and outreach about the impacts of stormwater discharges on local water bodies and the steps that can be taken to reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff;
 - 2.2.2. At a minimum, produce and disseminate informational material to inform the public (i.e., project managers, contractors, tenants, residents, and environmental staff) of the effects of erosion and runoff on water quality. Informational materials shall be updated and distributed as necessary throughout the duration of this permit, and should provide a location where all annual reports and/or SWMP updates as required by this permit may be viewed;
 - 2.2.3. For new residents in on-base housing, update new resident packets or provide information through alternative sources to educate new residents with information on household hazardous waste collection and disposal and information on the potential impacts of stormwater runoff;
 - 2.2.4. Provide and document training to appropriate 460th Space Wing personnel, for example, environmental, engineering and planning staff, and contracting officers to communicate the specific requirements for post-construction control as specified in this permit. Such training will be made available to tenant unit personnel, within the context of current contracts or inter-service support agreements; and
 - 2.2.5. Document education and outreach activities, including documents created for distribution and a training schedule which notes the dates that trainings occurred and the target audiences reached.
 - 2.2.6. The annual report (See Part 3.3) must document the following information related to public education and outreach:
 - 2.2.6.1. A description of the methods, frequency, type, and target audience of stormwater outreach performed during the permit term;
 - 2.2.6.2. A copy or representation of public outreach materials provided to the target audience(s);
 - 2.2.6.3. An estimation of the number of people expected to be reached by the program over each year of the permit term; and

2.2.6.4. The name or title of the person(s) responsible for coordination and implementation of the stormwater public education and outreach program.

2.3. Public Involvement and Participation. The permittee must:

2.3.1. Comply with applicable public notice requirements when implementing a public involvement and participation program;

2.3.2. Make all relevant annual reports available on the permittee web site or provide links to all relevant annual reports posted on the EPA Region 8 web site in a locally available publication;

2.3.3. Maintain a log of public participation and outreach activities performed;

2.3.4. Document efforts to meet at least annually with the City of Aurora to discuss development, maintenance, and new discharges to East Toll Gate Creek; and

2.3.5. Document volunteer activities to actively engage residents and personnel at Buckley Air Force Base in understanding water resources and how their activities can affect water quality.

2.3.6. The annual report (See Part 3.3) must document the following information related to public involvement/participation:

2.3.6.1. Documentation of any events or other activities to clean up MS4 receiving waters;

2.3.6.2. Documentation of any volunteer activities conducted to help actively engage residents and personnel at Buckley AFB in understanding water resources and how their activities can affect water quality; and

2.3.6.3. The name or title of the person(s) responsible for coordination and implementation of the storm water public education and outreach program.

2.4. Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination. An illicit discharge is any discharge to a MS4 that is not composed entirely of stormwater. Exceptions are described in Part 1.3.2. The permittee must:

2.4.1. Implement a program to detect and eliminate illicit discharges into its MS4. The program shall include procedures for detection, identification of sources, and removal of non-stormwater discharges from the storm sewer system. This program shall address illegal dumping into the storm sewer system, and include training for staff on how to respond to reports of illicit discharges;

2.4.2. Effectively prohibit, through ordinance or other regulatory mechanism available under the legal authorities of the MS4, non-stormwater discharges into the storm sewer system and implement appropriate enforcement procedures and actions;

2.4.3. Provide a mechanism for reporting of illicit discharges and provide this number on any outreach materials as appropriate;

2.4.4. Investigate any illicit discharge within fifteen (15) days of its detection, and take action to eliminate the source of the discharge within forty five (45) days of its detection (or obtain permission from EPA for such longer periods as may be necessary in particular instances);

2.4.5. Maintain an information system which tracks dry weather screening efforts, illicit discharge reports, and the location and any remediation efforts to address identified illicit discharges;

- 2.4.6. Conduct dry weather screening annually at each of the major outfalls for the presence of non-stormwater discharges and to determine if there are significant erosion issues which need to be addressed. If an illicit discharge is detected, an assessment of that discharge shall be made. For example, sampling could include field tests of selected chemical parameters as indicators of discharge sources where dry weather flows are detected. Screening level tests may utilize less expensive "field test kits" using test methods not approved by EPA under 40 CFR Part 136, provided the manufacturer's published detection ranges are adequate for the illicit discharge detection purposes;
- 2.4.7. Address the categories of non-stormwater discharges or flows listed in Part 1.3.2 and require local controls or conditions on these discharges as necessary to ensure that they are not significant contributors of pollutants to the small MS4. If the permittee identifies any of these non-stormwater discharges as a significant contributor of pollutants, the permittee must include the category as an illicit discharge and implement a plan of action to minimize or eliminate the illicit discharge as soon as practicable;
- 2.4.8. Update the complete storm sewer system map in the Buckley AFB GIS prior to the end of year three of the permit; and
- 2.4.9. The annual report (See Part 3.3) must document the following information related to illicit discharge detection and elimination:
- 2.4.9.1. A description of the program used to detect and eliminate illicit discharges into the MS4; including procedures for detection, identification of sources, and removal of non-stormwater discharges from the storm sewer system;
- 2.4.9.2. A description of the location and method of dry weather screening performed;
- 2.4.9.3. A description of illicit discharges located and all actions taken to eliminate sources of illicit discharges;
- 2.4.9.4. A description of training materials used and the frequency at which training was provided to the target audience(s) on how to respond to reports of illicit discharges;
- 2.4.9.5. A description or citation of the established ordinance or other regulatory mechanism used to prohibit illicit discharges into the MS4;
- 2.4.9.6. A copy or excerpt from the information management system used to track illicit discharges;
- 2.4.9.7. A description of the categories of non-stormwater discharges evaluated as potentially being significant contributors of pollutants to the MS4 and any local controls placed on these discharges; and
- 2.4.9.8. A description of the schedule and/or progress in creating a complete storm sewer map in the Buckley AFB GIS.
- 2.5. Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control. The permittee must:
- 2.5.1. Develop, implement, and enforce a program to reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff from construction activities;
- 2.5.2. Use an ordinance or other mechanism available under the legal authorities of the permittee to require erosion and sediment controls with sanctions for compliance to ensure compliance with the terms of the NPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges for Construction Activity in Colorado,

COR10000F (i.e., the Construction General Permit or "CGP");

- 2.5.3. Provide information on construction site BMPs with criteria for maintenance and installation. This may reference or incorporate documents which define how to install and maintain BMPs such as the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Criteria Manual;
- 2.5.4. Implement procedures for site plan review which incorporate consideration of potential water quality impacts, and review the Scope of Work for Buckley AFB projects to ensure that effective construction stormwater BMPs are proposed;
- 2.5.5. Maintain a list of policies and procedures which can be used to enforce construction site compliance within Buckley AFB independent of EPA staff directly enforcing the CGP;
- 2.5.6. Implement an inspection plan and keep a copy of that plan which provides inspection triggers, a priority for order of inspections, and a required timeframe upon which construction sites must be inspected by Buckley AFB. All construction sites within Buckley AFB must be inspected at a minimum semi-annually, and all sites must be inspected prior to construction stormwater permit termination to verify that final stabilization has been met on all areas of the site;
- 2.5.7. Maintain a site inspection form for use by Buckley AFB stormwater managers at sites;
- 2.5.8. Provide training to contracting officials which perform daily QAEs (Quality Assurance Evaluations) annually regarding the maintenance and installation of BMPs for construction stormwater control and the terms of the construction stormwater permit; and
- 2.5.9. Upon the closeout for construction projects where there has been documented non-compliance with the construction stormwater permit, provide information to the appropriate contracting authority for their action to document incidences of non-compliance;
- 2.5.10. The annual report (See Part 3.3) must document the following information related to construction site stormwater runoff control:
 - 2.5.10.1. A description of construction activities which disturbed greater than or equal to one acre of land at Buckley AFB during the term of this permit;
 - 2.5.10.2. A description or citation of the established ordinance or other regulatory mechanism used to require erosion and sediment controls;
 - 2.5.10.3. A description of the sanctions and enforcement mechanisms Buckley AFB uses to ensure that construction activities disturbing equal to or greater than one acre of land are in compliance with the terms of the CGP. This should include a description of any methods developed for Buckley AFB staff to stop work on construction sites in non-compliance independent of contracting procedures;
 - 2.5.10.4. A description of the procedures for site plan review, including the review of pre-construction site plans, which incorporate consideration of potential water quality impacts and applicable contract language;
 - 2.5.10.5. A description of the procedures for receipt and consideration of information submitted by the public;
 - 2.5.10.6. A description of the procedures for site inspection, including how sites will be prioritized for inspection, including documentation of the frequency of site inspections and methods for

prioritizing site inspections;

2.5.10.7. Documentation of annual training provided to contracting officials, including those who perform Quality Assurance Evaluations (QAEs), regarding the maintenance and installation of BMPs for construction stormwater control and the terms of the construction stormwater permit; and

2.5.10.8. The name or title of the person(s) responsible for coordination and implementation of the construction site runoff control program.

2.6. Post-construction Stormwater Management for New Development and Redevelopment. The permittee must:

2.6.1. Develop or revise Form 1391 Military Construction Project Data Sheets to require the design of permanent post-construction stormwater control measures for all new and redevelopment construction projects disturbing equal to or greater than one acre. The resulting forms, at a minimum, must require that the permanent post-construction stormwater control measures be designed to retain, detain, infiltrate, or treat runoff from newly and re-developed impervious surfaces in a manner which maintains pre-development hydrology such as runoff volumes, patterns and quality;

2.6.2. Develop or revise Form 1391 to include a line item for costs associated with the design and installation of permanent stormwater control measures;

2.6.3. As part of the pre-construction design review process for new and redevelopment construction projects disturbing equal to or greater than one acre, review all projects to ensure (1) that they include the permanent post-construction stormwater control measures required by Form 1391, and (2) that such measures are designed to retain, detain, infiltrate, or treat runoff from newly and re-developed impervious surfaces in a manner which maintains pre-development hydrology such as runoff volumes, patterns and quality;

2.6.4. When updated, include hydrologic performance specifications and information related to the design and maintenance of permanent stormwater control measures in natural resource plans;

2.6.5. Include post-construction BMP "as-builts" for all newly installed permanent stormwater control measures in a georeferenced data management system;

2.6.6. Ensure that all newly installed post-construction stormwater control measures are working as designed prior to closing out contracts;

2.6.7. Upon closeout of new construction projects, include maintenance requirements for newly installed permanent post-construction stormwater control measures into a long-term maintenance plan (e.g., the recurring work program); and

2.6.8. Ensure that permanent post-construction stormwater control measures are included in any applicable warranty reviews.

2.6.9. The annual report (See Part 3.3) must document the following information related to post-construction site stormwater runoff control:

2.6.9.1. A description of the program to ensure that hydrologic endpoints are evaluated for new development and re-development projects as required in Part 2.6.1 and the mechanism used to review the adequacy of permanent stormwater control measures;

- 2.6.9.2. A description of the review procedures and the assumptions provided to ensure the long-term operation and maintenance of permanent stormwater control measures, including an excerpt from any data management system that includes maintenance requirements and schedules for permanent stormwater control measures installed during the year;
 - 2.6.9.3. A description of the process used to ensure that all Buckley AFB scopes of work initiated after the effective date of the permit contain language which requires the installation of permanent stormwater control measures and an excerpt of applicable scopes of work language;
 - 2.6.9.4. A description of any activities to include requirements or planning for permanent stormwater control measures in the natural resource plan; and
 - 2.6.9.5. The name or title of the person(s) responsible for coordination and implementation of the post-construction stormwater management program.
- 2.7. Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations. The permittee must:
- 2.7.1. Provide and document annual training for operators at all fleet maintenance facilities and civil engineering shops covering the topics of stormwater runoff impacts and controls and the maintenance of onsite pollution control measures. These trainings can be provided to a single point of contract for each facility for further distribution;
 - 2.7.2. Consider deicing training if available to minimize the use of and runoff from chemical deicers and traction aggregates;
 - 2.7.3. Evaluate options for consolidated areas to wash large equipment where practicable;
 - 2.7.4. Develop and implement a schedule for cleanout of storm sewer inlets in a manner which prevents significant deposition of sediment or other debris to receiving waters;
 - 2.7.5. Develop and implement a schedule for sweeping streets in a manner which prevents significant deposition of sediment or other debris to receiving waters and provide data or a description of this schedule and its implementation in the SWMP for the facility;
 - 2.7.6. Include in recurring work contracts (e.g., the recurring work program (RWP)), specifications for maintenance of instream BMPs (e.g., sediment basins, drop structures, trash racks); and
 - 2.7.7. Include maintenance activities for all permanent stormwater control measures in the Integrated Wastewater Information Management System (IWIMS) or another suitable application when turned over (as-builts, specifications, etc.) to the 460th Civil Engineer Squadron.
 - 2.7.8. The annual report (See Part 3.3) must document the following information related to pollution prevention and good housekeeping for municipal operations:
 - 2.7.8.1. A description of the contents and frequency of the training program (see Part 2.7.1) for municipal personnel and a list of the personnel or positions trained during the term of the permit;
 - 2.7.8.2. A description of the evaluation performed on the street cleaning operations, catch basin cleaning operations, and street sanding/salt practices and any measures taken as a result of the evaluation to minimize negative impacts to water quality; and
 - 2.7.8.3. A description of how maintenance activities are tracked for permanent stormwater control measures.

3. MONITORING, RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING

3.1. Monitoring. The permittee must:

- 3.1.1. Not later than the end of year three of the permit term, develop a program to evaluate the water quality in East Tollgate Creek, and if deemed necessary by the permittee, Granby Ditch, as it both enters Buckley AFB and leaves Buckley AFB. This program shall at a minimum include evaluations of streambank stabilization, and water quality. The water quality monitoring program indicators such as, but not limited to, chemical monitoring, assessment of macroinvertebrates or other aquatic life, or watershed assessment of river stability and sediment supply, provided that the monitoring program provides meaningful data to evaluate the effectiveness of the stormwater management program. The permittee is responsible for evaluating data for analysis of trends; and
- 3.1.2. Send a description of the water quality monitoring program to EPA with the Annual Report for year 3 of this permit term. Programs will be assessed by EPA Region 8 to determine whether the program meets the goals of this permit and whether the data is being collected and reported in compliance with EPA test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136.

3.2. Recordkeeping

- 3.2.1. The permittee must retain records of all monitoring information, including, all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, copies of Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs), a copy of the NPDES permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit for a period of at least three years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application, or for the term of this permit, whichever is longer. This period may be extended by request of the EPA at any time.
- 3.2.2. The permittee must submit the records referred to in Part 3.2.1 to EPA only when specifically asked to do so. The permittee must retain a description of the SWMP required by this permit (including a copy of the permit language) at a location accessible to the EPA. The permittee must make records, including the application and the description of the SWMP, available to the public if requested to do so in writing.

3.3. Annual Reports.

The permittee must submit an annual report to EPA for each year of the permit term. The first report is due April 1, 2011, and must cover the activities during the period beginning on the effective date of the permit through December 31, 2010. Each subsequent annual report is due on April 1 of each year following 2009 for the remainder of the permit term. Reports must be signed in accordance with the signatory requirements in Part 4.7. Reports may be posted on the EPA Region 8 web site. Therefore, parts of the annual report which cannot be publicly available should be marked as "confidential" or "for official use only." Reports must be submitted to EPA at the following address:

Stormwater Coordinator (8P-W-WW)
Small MS4 Annual Report
US EPA Region 8
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129

4. STANDARD PERMIT CONDITIONS

4.1. Duty to Comply. The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of CWA and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or for denial of a permit renewal application.

4.1.1. *Criminal Violations.*

4.1.1.1. *Negligent Violations.* The CWA provides that any person who *negligently* violates permit conditions implementing section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a fine of not less than \$2,500 nor more than \$25,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 1 year, or both. In the case of a second, or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than 2 years, or both.

4.1.1.2. *Knowing Violations.* The CWA provides that any person who *knowingly* violates permit conditions implementing section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a fine of not less than \$5,000 nor more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than 3 years, or both. In the case of a second, or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$100,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than 6 years, or both.

4.1.1.3. *Knowing Endangerment.* The CWA provides that any person who *knowingly* violates permit conditions implementing section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury shall, upon conviction be subject to a fine not more than \$250,000 or by imprisonment for not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second, or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$500,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the CWA shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision, be subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 and can be fined up to \$2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.

4.1.1.4. *False Statement.* The CWA provides that any person who knowingly makes any false material statement, representation, or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained under the Act or who knowingly falsifies, tampers with, or renders inaccurate, any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the Act, shall upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 or by imprisonment for not more than two years, or by both. If a conviction is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment shall be by a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than four years, or by both. (See section 309(c)(4) of the Clean Water Act).

4.1.2. *Civil Penalties.* The CWA provides that any person who violates a permit condition implementing section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$37,500 per day for each violation.

- 4.1.3 *Administrative Penalties.* The CWA provides that any person who violates a permit condition implementing section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act is subject to an administrative penalty as follows:
- 4.1.3.1. *Class I penalty.* Not to exceed \$16,000 per violation nor shall the maximum amount exceed \$37,500.
- 4.1.3.2. *Class II penalty.* Not to exceed \$16,000 per day for each day during which violation continues nor shall the maximum amount exceed \$177,500.
- 4.2. Duty to Reapply. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit. The application should be submitted at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit.
- 4.3. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense. It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.
- 4.4. Duty to Mitigate. The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit that has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.
- 4.5. Duty to Provide Information. The permittee shall furnish to the EPA, within a reasonable time, any information which the EPA may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the EPA, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
- 4.6. Other Information. If the permittee becomes aware that the permittee has failed to submit any relevant facts in the Notice of Intent or submitted incorrect information in the Notice of Intent, or in any other report to the EPA, the permittee must promptly submit such facts or information.
- 4.7. Signatory Requirements. All Notices of Intent, Notices of Termination, reports, certifications, or information submitted to the EPA, or that this permit requires be maintained by the permittee, shall be signed and certified as follows:
- 4.7.1. *Notices of Intent.* All Notices of Intent/Termination shall be signed by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this section, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes: (1) the chief executive officer of the agency, or (2) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency (e.g., Regional Administrators of EPA).
- 4.7.2. *Reports and other information.* All reports required by the permit and other information requested by the EPA or authorized representative of the EPA shall be signed by a person described in Part 5.7.1 or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
- 4.7.2.1. The authorization is made in writing by a person described in Part 5.7.1 and submitted to the EPA; and

- 4.7.2.2. The authorization specifies either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated activity, such as the position of manager, operator, superintendent, or position of equivalent responsibility for environmental matter for the regulated entity.
- 4.7.3. *Changes to authorization.* If an authorization under Part 4.7.2 is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the MS4, a new authorization satisfying the requirements of Part 5.7.2 must be submitted to the EPA prior to or together with any reports, information, or notices of intent to be signed by an authorized representative.
- 4.7.4. *Certification.* Any person signing a document under Parts 4.7.1 or 4.7.2 shall make the following certification:
- “I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”
- 4.8. Property Rights. The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege, nor does it authorize any injury to private property nor any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of Federal, State or local laws or regulations
- 4.9. Proper Operation and Maintenance. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit and with the conditions of the permittee's storm water management program. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. Proper operation and maintenance requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems, installed by the permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
- 4.10. Inspection and Entry. The permittee shall allow the Regional Administrator or State working on EPA's behalf pursuant to a resource sharing agreement with EPA to inspect the installation, or authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the Administrator) upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
- 4.10.1. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated activity is located or conducted or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- 4.10.2. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- 4.10.3. Inspect at reasonable times, any facilities or equipment (including monitoring and control equipment) practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
- 4.10.4. Sample or monitor, at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the CWA, any substances or parameters at any location.
- 4.11. Permit Actions. This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.

- 4.12. Permit. This permit is not transferable to any person except after notice to the EPA. The EPA may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Act.
- 4.13. Anticipated Noncompliance. The permittee shall give advance notice to the EPA of any planned changes in the permitted small MS4 or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit conditions.
- 4.14. State/Tribal Environmental Laws.
- 4.14.1. Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable State/Tribal law or regulation under authority preserved by section 510 of the Act.
- 4.14.2. No condition of this permit releases the permittee from any responsibility or requirements under other environmental statutes or regulations.
- 4.15. Severability. The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit shall not be affected thereby.
- 4.16. Procedures for Modification or Revocation. Permit modification or revocation will be conducted according to 40 CFR 122.62, 122.63, 122.64 and 124.5.

5. DEFINITIONS

All definitions contained in Section 502 of the Act and 40 CFR 122 shall apply to this permit and are incorporated herein by reference. For convenience, simplified explanations of some regulatory/statutory definitions have been provided but, in the event of a conflict, the definition found in the Statute or Regulation takes precedence.

- 5.1. *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* means schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the United States. BMPs also include treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.
- 5.2. *Control Measure* as used in this permit, refers to any Best Management Practice or other method used to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States.
- 5.3. *CWA or The Act* means the Clean Water Act (formerly referred to as the Federal Water Pollution Control Act or Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972) Pub.L. 92-500, as amended Pub. L. 95-217, Pub. L. 95-576, Pub. L. 96-483 and Pub. L. 97-117, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et.seq.
- 5.4. *Discharge*, when used without a qualifier, refers to "discharge of a pollutant" as defined at 40 CFR 122.2.
- 5.5. *Discharge-related Activities* include: activities which cause, contribute to, or result in storm water point source pollutant discharges and measures to control storm water discharges, including the siting, construction, and operation of best management practices to control, reduce or prevent storm water pollution.
- 5.6. *EPA* means the EPA Regional Administrator or an authorized representative.

- 5.7. *Measurable Goal* means a quantitative measure of progress in implementing a component of a storm water management program.
- 5.8. *MS4* means "municipal separate storm sewer system" and is used to refer to either a Large, Medium, or Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System. The term, as used within the context of this permit, refers to small MS4s (see definition below) and includes systems operated by a variety of public entities (e.g., military facilities, prisons, and systems operated by other levels of government).
- 5.9. *Municipal Separate Storm Sewer* means a conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made channels, or storm drains): (i) Owned or operated by a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, storm water, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States; (ii) Designed or used for collecting or conveying storm water; (iii) Which is not a combined sewer; and (iv) Which is not part of a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) as defined at 40 CFR 122.2.
- 5.10. *NOT* means Notice of Termination to be covered under EPA's Construction General Permit.
- 5.11. *Outfall* means a point source (defined below) at the point where a municipal separate storm sewer discharges to waters of the United States and does not include open conveyances connecting two municipal separate storm sewers or pipes, tunnels, or other conveyances which connect segments of the same stream or other waters of the United States and are used to convey waters of the United States.
- 5.12. *Point Source* means any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to, any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, landfill leachate collection system, vessel or other floating craft from which pollutants are or may be discharged. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture or agricultural storm water runoff.
- 5.13. *Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System* is defined at 40 CFR 122.26(b)(16) and refers to all separate storm sewers that are owned or operated by the United States, a State, city, town, borough, county, parish, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, storm water, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or an Indian tribe or an authorized Indian tribal organization, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to waters of the United States, but is not defined as "large" or "medium" municipal separate storm sewer system. This term includes systems similar to separate storm sewer systems in municipalities such as systems at military bases, large hospital or prison complexes, and highways and other thoroughfares. The term does not include separate storm sewers in very discrete areas such as individual buildings.
- 5.14. *Stormwater* is defined at 40 CFR 122.26(b)(13) and means storm water runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage.
- 5.15. *Storm Water Management Plan (SWMP)* refers to a comprehensive program to manage the quality of storm water discharged from the municipal separate storm sewer system.

Statement of Basis

PERMITTEE: United States Department of the Air Force, 460th Space Wing

FACILITY: Buckley Air Force Base Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) located at 39° 42' 30" N and 104° 45' 30" W

PERMIT NO.: CO-R042003

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Colonel Clinton E. Crosier, Commander

PHONE: 720-847-4601

CONTACT PERSON: Laurie B. Fisher
Water Quality Program Manager

Facility Background Information:

Buckley Air Force Base (Buckley AFB) is an Air Force Space Command base. During 2000, base-operating responsibilities changed from the Colorado Air National Guard (COANG) to the U.S. Air Force (USAF) and ultimately the 460th Space Wing (460 SW) became the host unit at Buckley AFB.

The 460 SW's mission is to provide combatant commanders with expeditionary warrior Airmen, and deliver global infrared surveillance, tracking, and missile warning for theater and homeland defense. Also, the 460 SW provides infrastructure and organizational support for approximately 77 tenant organizations who have facilities and operations located on Buckley AFB including the 140th Wing (140 WG) of the COANG, the Colorado Army National Guard (COARNG), the Navy Operational Support Center, Marines Corps, and Coast Guard, and reserve components of these forces.

Prior to the issuance of this permit, stormwater discharges from the Buckley AFB MS4 were regulated under EPA's General Permit for Storm Water Discharges from Federal Facility Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems in Colorado (COR42000F). That permit was issued on June 23, 2003 and expired on June 22, 2008. It was not reissued. The eight facilities covered under the general permit have been or will be issued individual permits for discharges from their MS4s. This approach is being taken so that terms specific to the operations, industrial activities, and receiving water conditions of each facility can be included in each individual permit. It is believed that this approach will result in a permit with more streamlined conditions specifically tailored to the goal of reducing pollutant loading in stormwater runoff.

As part of the process of issuing an individual permit for stormwater discharges from the

Buckley AFB MS4, representatives from EPA Region 8 conducted a facility audit of the MS4 program at Buckley AFB. The audit team reviewed contracts, regulations, annual reports from the previous permit, and facility operating procedures. Oversight inspections of industrial activities and interviews of program staff were also performed. A summary of the significant findings from this audit are as follows:

- Buckley AFB has a strong program to address stormwater discharges from “municipal” and industrial facilities. This is likely due to a strong education program with annual training on several topics and oversight in the form of base-wide inspections and regional Air Force oversight;
- New facilities at Buckley AFB are very well designed with separation of areas exposed to industrial activities and large secondary containment features;
- Development at Buckley AFB includes detention structures but does not currently reflect low impact development practices. Implementation of a design standard which mimics pre-development hydrology will require changes in how contracts for new construction are created and managed.
- Contracting of small construction sites is a concern as violations of the construction general permit were noted during the audit. The ability to influence contractors through either contractor performance appraisals and daily quality assurance evaluations is not very effective as it is currently being implemented.
- Buckley AFB maintains a mostly daylighted storm sewer system with maintained detention structures, so visible degradation from high flows in receiving waters is not very evident. Pollutants leaving the base are likely minimal due to the strong “municipal” and industrial sites program and a commitment to tracking and properly disposing of all potentially hazardous chemicals.
- Future development in the surrounding watershed will affect East Tollgate Creek and how the stormwater conveyance system at Buckley AFB needs to be managed. Communication with the City of Aurora regarding newly proposed projects is important.

Recommendations from the facility audit were used to develop specific permit conditions for Buckley AFB. This audit is available as part of the administrative record for this permit. A summary of the recommendations from the Buckley AFB MS4 audit is as follows:

- Buckley AFB should continue to include training on an annual basis for “municipal” and industrial operators;
- The contracting process for new construction projects will need to be modified to include a line item for the cost of permanent stormwater control measures. Environmental staff

will need to review proposed projects to ensure that permanent stormwater control measures can meet hydrologic endpoints and can be maintained. Once installed, it will be necessary to retain designs and determine mechanisms so that permanent stormwater control measures are maintained to meet pollutant removal and detention/retention/infiltration goals over time;

- The contracting process for small construction projects needs to be re-evaluated so that there is more incentive to comply with the terms of the construction stormwater permit; and

A monitoring program should be initiated to ascertain receiving water quality and to evaluate the effectiveness of the MS4 program.

Each of these recommendations, as well as more specific findings from the facility MS4 audit are included as permit conditions in this permit. These supplement the previous conditions laid out in EPA's General Permit for Storm Water Discharges from Federal Facility Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems in Colorado (COR42000F).

Receiving Waters:

All surface water runoff within Buckley AFB is intermittent and occurs only in response to precipitation events. This runoff is controlled and managed on base by the Buckley AFB stormwater drainage system, a man-made system covered under Buckley AFB's MS4 permit. Runoff from facilities on base discharges into this MS4 system and discharges at outfalls into natural drainage channel receiving waters. The receiving waters, also intermittent drainages, are East Toll Gate Creek (a natural drainage channel) and Granby Ditch (a natural channel, largely improved by man, and a component of the City of Aurora's MS4 drainage system). However, construction in the City of Aurora appears to be moving East Toll Gate Creek toward more perennial flow. Based on topography, surface water drains from the eastern side of the base via either an unnamed tributary to Murphy Creek or an unnamed tributary to Sand Creek. However, this part of the Base is well vegetated, most runoff occurs as overland flows, and therefore actual runoff discharges at outfalls are rare.

Surface drainage from Buckley AFB and the surrounding area is generally from southeast to northwest. Sand Creek, the primary surface drainage feature in the area, is located to the north-northeast of the base; Murphy Creek is tributary to Sand Creek. East Toll Gate Creek crosses the southern part of the base and is tributary to Toll Gate Creek about 1.4 miles to the northwest, at its confluence with West Toll Gate Creek. Toll Gate Creek is tributary to Sand Creek where it joins about 3.3 miles further downstream, southwest of the I-225 and I-70 interchange. Sand Creek is tributary to the South Platte River approximately 12 miles northwest of Buckley AFB. This portion of the South Platte is designated as the U.S. Geological Survey's (USGS's) Middle South Platte-Cherry Creek watershed with the hydrologic unit code 10190003. The named drainages are all classified as Waters of the United States.

East Toll Gate Creek is the only receiving water or drainage basin that has any drainage area occurring upstream of the Base, so activities which may impact water quality entering the base are limited to this drainage. The total area of the East Toll Gate Creek drainage basin is 11.1 square miles (7,100 acres). The part of the East Toll Gate Creek drainage basin located on Buckley AFB is about 20 percent of the entire basin. The upstream drainage area, which enters (runs onto) the base at two points along the southern boundary, is about 40 percent of the entire basin, or twice the size of the on-base drainage area. Therefore, a significant volume of runoff flows onto the base in response to major precipitation events. The upstream drainage area, located within the limits of the City of Aurora and in unincorporated Arapahoe County, is partially developed with several commercial and residential developments currently under construction. East Toll Gate Creek, located both immediately upstream and downstream of the Base, is designated by the State of Colorado as impaired, as follows:

WBID: COSPUS16c,

Segment Description: Tributaries to S. Platte River, Chatfield Reservoir to Big Dry Creek except specific listings

Portion: East Toll Gate Creek, West Toll Gate Creek, Toll Gate Creek,

Pollutant of concern: Selenium.

In addition, Sand Creek, which is a possible receiving water downstream of the base, is designated as impaired by the State of Colorado, as follows:

WBID: COSPUS16a,

Segment Description: Sand Creek,

Portion: all,

Pollutants of concern: Selenium and *Escherichia Coli* (*E.coli*). There are no TMDLs in place for waters on Base or immediately downstream.

The major soil-mapping units present on Buckley AFB include the Fondis-Weld, Alluvial Land-Nunn, and Renohill-Buick-Little associations. The Fondis-Weld association, composed of the Fondis and Weld soil series, covers the most surface area at Buckley AFB. The Fondis soils have moderately slow permeability (< 0.63 inches per hour). The Alluvial Land-Nunn association consists of soils that have moderate permeability (0.63 inches per hour). The most common soil series within the Renohill-Buick-Little association are the Renohill-Little complex and the Renohill-Buick loam. Renohill soils are characterized as moderately slow to slow permeability (less than 0.63 inches per hour).

Endangered Species

Coverage under this permit is available only if the stormwater discharges, allowable non-storm water discharges, and discharge-related activities are not likely to:

- Jeopardize the continued existence of any species that are listed as endangered or threatened ("listed") under the ESA or result in the adverse modification or destruction of habitat that is designated as critical under the ESA ("critical habitat"); or
- Cause a prohibited "take" of endangered or threatened species (as defined under Section 3 of the Endangered Species Act and 50 CFR 17.3), unless such takes are authorized under sections 7 or 10 of the Endangered Species Act.

"Discharge-related activities" include activities which cause, contribute to, or result in stormwater point source pollutant discharges and measures to control stormwater discharges; including the citing, construction, and operation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) to control, reduce, or prevent stormwater pollution.

Upon its initial certification for MS4 permit coverage in 2004, Buckley AFB, working with the U.S. Fish and Wild Life Service (FWS) and the State of Colorado, certified in its Notice of Intent (NOI) application, that stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities from the Buckley AFB MS4 would not jeopardize the continued existence of any species that are listed as endangered or threatened ("listed") under the ESA or result in the adverse modification or destruction of habitat that is designated as critical under the ESA ("critical habitat"). Buckley AFB continues to work with FWS and the State of Colorado to update its endangered species lists and is required to evaluate the potential effects of every new construction project through a formal environmental impact analysis. These analyses require that all new projects are designed and maintained such that the existence of listed species cannot be jeopardized and critical habitat cannot be adversely modified or destroyed.

No species that are federally-listed as endangered or threatened ("listed") under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) have been found or are expected to be present on Buckley AFB. According to the FWS there is no critical habitat designated on or near Buckley AFB. Therefore, plans to address impacts from stormwater discharges are not applicable. The burrowing owl is a Colorado state threatened species of concern. Historically, burrowing owls have occupied habitat on Buckley AFB and are monitored regularly.

Historic Properties

Coverage under this permit is available only if the stormwater discharges, allowable non-stormwater discharges, and discharge-related activities are:

- Not likely to affect a property that is listed or is eligible for listing on the National

Register of Historic Places as maintained by the Secretary of the Interior; or

- In compliance with a written agreement with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) that outlines all measures the MS4 operator will undertake to mitigate or prevent adverse effect to the historic property.

Upon its initial certification for MS4 permit coverage in 2003, Buckley AFB, working with State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs), certified in its Notice of Intent (NOI) application, that stormwater discharges and discharge-related activities from the Buckley AFB MS4 would not affect a property that is listed or is eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places as maintained by the Secretary of the Interior. Buckley AFB continues to work with SHPOs to update its listing of historic properties and any other archeological areas of significance and is required to evaluate the potential affects of every new construction project through a formal impact analysis. These analyses require that all new projects are designed and maintained such that properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places are not affected.

Numerous surveys, studies, and inventories have been conducted on Buckley AFB to identify historic or cultural features, sites or items. Six buildings, which date to the Cold War era, have been determined by Buckley AFB, with the concurrence of the Colorado State Historic Preservation Office, to be individually eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). These buildings are two hangars and the exteriors of four of the radomes. No archaeological sites eligible for inclusion in the NRHP have been identified on Buckley AFB and no Indian sacred sites, traditional cultural properties, Native American human remains, or cultural items have been identified, or inadvertently discovered or reported on Buckley AFB.

The Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan (ICRMP) for Buckley AFB provides guidance and establishes standard operating procedures for the management of culturally significant resources on the base. The ICRMP contains compliance procedures including Native American concerns, consultation procedures, and Section 106 review guidelines. The ICRMP is consulted prior to any proposed project to ensure that there are no new cultural resources constraints associated with a proposed action.

Technology Based Effluent Limits

NPDES permit coverage for these discharges is required in accordance with the 1987 Amendments to the Clean Water Act (CWA) and final EPA regulations for Phase II stormwater discharges (64 FR 68722, December 8, 1999). The 1987 Water Quality Act (WQA) amended the Clean Water Act (CWA) by adding section 402(p) which requires that NPDES permits be issued for various categories of storm water discharges. Section 402(p)(2) requires permits for the following five categories of storm water discharges:

1. Discharges permitted prior to February 4, 1987;

2. Discharges associated with industrial activity;
3. Discharges from large municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) (systems serving a population of 250,000 or more);
4. Discharges from medium MS4s (systems serving a population of 100,000 or more, but less than 250,000); and
5. Discharges judged by the permitting authority to be significant sources of pollutants or which contribute to a violation of a water quality standard.

The five categories listed above are generally referred to as Phase I of the stormwater program. In Colorado, Phase I MS4 permits have been issued by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) to the cities of Denver, Lakewood, Aurora, Colorado Springs, and the highway system operated by the Colorado Department of Transportation within those cities. In Colorado, NPDES permitting authority for Federal Facilities has not been delegated to CDPHE. Therefore, EPA is the NPDES permitting authority for those facilities.

Phase II stormwater regulations were promulgated by EPA on December 8, 1999 (64 FR 68722). These regulations set forth the additional categories of discharges to be permitted and the requirements of the program. The additional stormwater discharges to be permitted include:

1. Small MS4s;
2. Small construction sites (i.e., sites which disturb one to five acres); and
3. Industrial facilities owned or operated by small municipalities which were temporarily exempted from the Phase I requirements in accordance with the provisions of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) of 1991.

The 1987 CWA amendments clarified the fact that industrial storm water discharges are subject to the best available technology (BAT) / best conventional technology (BCT) requirements of the CWA, and applicable water quality standards. For MS4s, the CWA specifies a new technology-related level of control for pollutants in the discharges - control to the maximum extent practicable (MEP). However, the CWA is silent on the specific issue of compliance with water quality standards for MS4 discharges. In September 1999, the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals addressed this issue and ruled that Section 402(p)(3) grants EPA the discretion to determine the appropriate pollution controls to be required in MS4 permits, including the appropriate degree of compliance with water quality standards. *Defenders of Wildlife v. Browner*, 191 F.3d 1159 (9th Cir. 1999).

The technology based effluent limits for this permit are largely based on the implementation of a Stormwater Management Program (SWMP) which addresses six minimum measures. The SWMP and additional measures included in this permit are the means through which Buckley AFB complies with the CWA's requirement to control pollutants in the discharges to the maximum extent practicable and comply with the water quality related provisions of the CWA. EPA considers MEP to be an iterative process in which an initial SWMP is proposed and then

periodically upgraded as new BMPs are developed or new information becomes available concerning the effectiveness of existing BMPs (64 FR 68754). The Phase II regulations at 40 CFR §122.34 require the following six minimum pollution control measures to be included in SWMP:

1. Public Education and Outreach on Storm Water Impacts;
2. Public Involvement/Participation;
3. Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination;
4. Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control;
5. Post-Construction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment; and
6. Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations.

The regulations specify required elements for each minimum measure and also include guidance which provides additional information recommended for an adequate program. The permit includes nearly verbatim the required program elements for each minimum measure. The permit also includes a number of additional requirements for each minimum measure which were derived, among other sources, from the recommendations of the regulations and from findings recognized during the facility audit which could affect the implementation of an effective stormwater program.

A summary of technology based effluent limits and a rationale for these limits follows:

General Requirements

- Buckley AFB must continue to develop, implement, and enforce a Storm Water Management Program (SWMP). The SWMP must include management practices, control techniques, system design, engineering methods, and other provisions the permittee or EPA determines appropriate for the control of pollutants in discharges from the MS4;
- Buckley AFB must fully implement the SWMP; including meeting its measurable goals. Implementation should take place in approximate equal intervals throughout the permit and progress will be tracked in the annual report;
- The SWMP must include each of the minimum control measures; and
- Buckley AFB must conduct an annual review of the SWMP in conjunction with preparation of the annual report.

Fundamental to these general requirements is a need to develop a SWMP. The purpose of this SWMP is to meet the goals of this permit and to prevent deleterious effects to downstream resources from stormwater runoff. These goals should not be mutually exclusive. If they start to

become mutually exclusive, the permit should be re-evaluated upon reissuance to incorporate more effective conditions.

Nowhere in the permit is there a specific requirement to create a formal stormwater management plan. This was not written into the permit as there is a concern that a formal stormwater management plan could be contracted out and written into a formal document which may not always be kept current. Buckley AFB is encouraged to develop a stormwater management plan or aggregation of products (e.g., a database which documents existing activities with reporting functions) to provide a place where both EPA and stormwater management personnel at Buckley AFB can show what activities are taking place to prevent deleterious effects to downstream water resources from stormwater runoff. The most important goal is that the stormwater management program is effective and necessary activities are taking place. A static document which meets all of the permit conditions when developed, but does not allow for changes to the program to be readily addressed, does not meet that goal.

It is expected that the SWMP should be an evolving program which changes over time to include new Best Management Practices (BMPs), contracting mechanisms, and training protocols. Outside of the annual report requirements in this permit, how Buckley AFB chooses to document the activities of this program is best left to their own judgment.

Public Education and Outreach on Stormwater Impacts

There are three target audiences for public education and outreach at Buckley AFB:

1. Base residents in housing and dormitories;
2. Shoppers utilizing support facilities including the base exchange, commissary, car wash, and gas station; and
3. Military and civilian populations working on base.

Compared to a traditional MS4 (i.e., a "city"), Buckley AFB maintains strict controls over what can be purchased, used, and disposed of within the boundaries of the base. These controls are very effective, provided that people have the training and knowledge to employ the existing practices successfully. Therefore, the focus of public education and outreach activities is to continue existing practices which have been recognized as successful so far. These include providing all new occupants in employee housing with a new resident packet and quarterly training for contractors/employees/tenants on topics such as construction stormwater, industrial stormwater, oil/water separator maintenance, spill containment, and hazardous waste management. In addition, continued outreach in the more traditional fashion should occur utilizing outlets such as the base paper, the base web site, the military component of the City of Aurora paper titled "The Guardian," and outreach materials adopted from the Keep it Clean Partnership.

During the facility audit, it was noted that every facility with materials or activities that could impact stormwater quality has a facility environmental manager. This person serves as the single

point of contact through which all environmental training and practices can be coordinated. This practice is encouraged as it both provides a level of accountability at every facility and empowers facility environmental managers to employ innovative or economical practices for pollution prevention given their knowledge and day-to-day responsibility.

Permit conditions require that Buckley AFB must:

- Continue an education and outreach program for Buckley AFB which targets project managers, contractors, tenants, residents, and environmental staff in an effort to provide education and outreach about the impacts of stormwater discharges on local water bodies and the steps that can be taken to reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff;
- At a minimum, produce and disseminate informational material to inform the public (i.e., project managers, contractors, tenants, residents, and environmental staff) of the effects of erosion and runoff on water quality. Informational materials shall be updated and distributed as necessary throughout the duration of this permit, and should provide a location where all annual reports and/or SWMP updates as required by this permit may be viewed;
- For new residents in on-base housing, update new resident packets or provide information through alternative sources to educate new residents with information on household hazardous waste collection and disposal and information on the potential impacts of stormwater runoff;
- Provide and document training to appropriate 460th Space Wing personnel, for example, environmental, engineering and planning staff, and contracting officers to communicate the specific requirements for post-construction control as specified in this permit. Such training will be made available to tenant unit personnel, within the context of current contracts or inter-service support agreements; and
- Document education and outreach activities, including documents created for distribution and a training schedule which notes the dates that trainings occurred and the target audiences reached.

Public Involvement and Participation

Public involvement and participation at Buckley AFB is very strong when considering the applicability to these target audiences. There are several mechanisms by which employees are involved in decision making processes which can impact environmental resources. It is not necessary to create new internal processes for environmental review. However, documenting the existing processes to ensure that they meet the goals of this permit and educating employees and contracting officials to recognize the goals of the MS4 program will be critical to ensuring that pollutants in stormwater runoff are minimized.

The city of Aurora is generally receptive to communication with the base, but there have been times where the city has not been responsive in cleaning out upstream trash or notifying the base when there is a new upstream discharge which could affect the stormwater conveyance system. Therefore, one additional requirement has been added to create and document a mechanism by which Aurora and Buckley AFB communicate to discuss new decisions which may impact East Tollgate Creek. A similar notification requirement will be proposed during the public notice period for the Aurora MS4 permit when it is reissued.

Permit conditions require that Buckley AFB must:

- Comply with applicable public notice requirements when implementing a public involvement and participation program;
- Make all relevant annual reports available on the permittee web site or provide links to all relevant annual reports posted on the EPA Region 8 web site in a locally available publication;
- Maintain a log of public participation and outreach activities performed;
- Document efforts to meet at least annually with the City of Aurora to discuss development, maintenance, and new discharges to East Toll Gate Creek; and
- Document volunteer activities to actively engage residents and personnel at Buckley Air Force Base in understanding water resources and how their activities can affect water quality.

Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

An illicit discharge is defined as any discharge to a MS4 that is not composed entirely of stormwater except discharges pursuant to a NPDES permit and discharges resulting from fire fighting activities. The permit authorizes several non-stormwater discharges and provides requirements to detect, eliminate, and prevent illicit discharges.

In general, Buckley AFB maintains strict control over oil and hazardous wastes through actions independent of its MS4 permit. These include a facility-wide hazardous waste collection and disposal permit, Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) plans, and tracking of potentially hazardous waste from cradle-to-grave using computerized maintenance management systems. Consistent training helps ensure the success of these programs and other programs like it. Therefore, it is not necessary to create an illicit discharge detection and elimination program independent of the MS4 permit. The MS4 permit contains permit conditions which enhance existing activities without a significant burden. These include requirements to address illicit discharges within a certain timeframe and maintain an illicit discharge database. It is expected

that through more active tracking of illicit discharges, it will be possible to determine if there are specific trends which need to be addressed. The requirement to conduct annual dry weather screening annually has been retained despite the fact that illicit discharges have not been detected in the past during these screenings. This is because annual dry weather screening will provide environmental staff with a continuing obligation to observe the receiving water. If illicit discharges are not detected, it will still be possible to determine if there is significant erosion from outfall discharges or noticeable debris or trash which needs to be addressed.

Permit conditions require that Buckley AFB must:

- Implement a program to detect and eliminate illicit discharges into its MS4. The program shall include procedures for detection, identification of sources, and removal of non-stormwater discharges from the storm sewer system. This program shall address illegal dumping into the storm sewer system, and include training for staff on how to respond to reports of illicit discharges;
- Effectively prohibit, through ordinance or other regulatory mechanism available under the legal authorities of the MS4, non-stormwater discharges into the storm sewer system and implement appropriate enforcement procedures and actions;
- Provide a mechanism for reporting of illicit discharges and provide this number on any outreach materials as appropriate;
- Investigate any illicit discharge within fifteen (15) days of its detection, and take action to eliminate the source of the discharge within forty five (45) days of its detection (or obtain permission from EPA for such longer periods as may be necessary in particular instances);
- Maintain an information system which tracks dry weather screening efforts, illicit discharge reports, and the location and any remediation efforts to address identified illicit discharges;
- Conduct dry weather screening annually at each of the major outfalls for the presence of non-stormwater discharges and to determine if there are significant erosion issues which need to be addressed. If an illicit discharge is detected, an assessment of that discharge shall be made. For example, sampling could include field tests of selected chemical parameters as indicators of discharge sources where dry weather flows are detected. Screening level tests may utilize less expensive "field test kits" using test methods not approved by EPA under 40 CFR Part 136, provided the manufacturer's published detection ranges are adequate for the illicit discharge detection purposes;
- Address the categories of non-stormwater discharges or flows listed in **Part 1.3.2** and require local controls or conditions on these discharges as necessary to ensure that they

are not significant contributors of pollutants to the small MS4. If the permittee identifies any of these non-stormwater discharges as a significant contributor of pollutants, the permittee must include the category as an illicit discharge and implement a plan of action to minimize or eliminate the illicit discharge as soon as practicable; and

- Update the complete storm sewer system map in the Buckley AFB GIS prior to the end of year three of the permit.

Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control

At a military installation, construction plans, specifications, inspections, and day-to-day activities are largely driven by contracts. Government construction contracts require that all applicable regulations be followed, and noncompliance with contracts results in a stoppage of work. There are several mechanisms by which Buckley AFB can oversee construction projects in terms of environmental performance and adherence with the construction stormwater general permit (i.e., the CGP). First, construction project plans are reviewed by environmental staff for compliance with the terms of the permit and to review whether BMPs to filter and detain stormwater are likely to be effective. Second, environmental staff independently evaluate construction sites for compliance with the terms of the permit. Third, contracting office technical representatives visit construction sites daily to review whether all terms of the contract, including stormwater permit compliance, are being adhered to. And finally, all construction site contractors are provided with an evaluation at the end of the project which affects whether contractors can be given repeat contracts.

Permit conditions have been designed to specifically address each of these mechanisms. A requirement to provide training to contracting representatives who perform daily Quality Assurance Evaluations (QAEs) was included, since these people are consistently involved in every construction project and have an enhanced presence. During the facility audit in 2009, one site was recognized as having deficient stormwater controls. Given that QAEs were being performed on a daily basis without recognition of these deficiencies, training on what to look for seems necessary.

One condition which is new to MS4 permits requires environmental staff to be provided with CCAS (Construction Contract Appraisal Support System) rating sheets where there has been documented non-compliance. This has been included to ensure that contractors are not given additional contracts for new construction without considering their record for complying with construction stormwater requirements. In addition, this will help validate whether contract representatives performing QAEs are adequately assessing whether there is compliance with stormwater regulations. Compliance with stormwater regulations is a condition of contract performance similar to structural building requirements (e.g., stud spacing, electrical wiring) and should not be viewed as being of lesser importance.

A requirement to maintain and utilize a Notice of Termination (NOT) form specific to Buckley AFB is provided to serve a dual purpose. Having Buckley AFB ensure that final stabilization has been met on all areas of the site will help assure that vegetation is adequately established. The NOT form will also provide a specific time and place where environmental staff can ensure that they have access to design specifications and operation and maintenance requirements for permanent stormwater control measures installed at site prior to the contractor walking away from the project.

Permit conditions require that Buckley AFB must:

- Develop, implement, and enforce a program to reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff from construction activities;
- Use an ordinance or other mechanism available under the legal authorities of the permittee to require erosion and sediment controls with sanctions for compliance to ensure compliance with the terms of the NPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges for Construction Activity in Colorado, COR10000F (i.e., the Construction General Permit or “CGP”);
- Provide information on construction site BMPs with criteria for maintenance and installation. This may reference or incorporate documents which define how to install and maintain BMPs such as the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Criteria Manual;
- Implement procedures for site plan review which incorporate consideration of potential water quality impacts, and review the scope of work for Buckley AFB projects to ensure that effective construction stormwater BMPs are proposed;
- Maintain a list of policies and procedures which can be used to enforce construction site compliance within Buckley AFB independent of EPA staff directly enforcing the CGP;
- Implement an inspection plan and keep a copy of that plan which provides inspection triggers, a priority for order of inspections, and a required timeframe upon which construction sites must be inspected by Buckley AFB. All construction sites within Buckley AFB must be inspected at a minimum semi-annually, and all sites must be inspected prior to construction stormwater permit termination to verify that final stabilization has been met on all areas of the site;
- Maintain a site inspection form for use by Buckley AFB stormwater managers at sites;
- Provide training to contracting officials which perform daily QAEs (Quality Assurance Evaluations) annually regarding the maintenance and installation of BMPs for

construction stormwater control and the terms of the construction stormwater permit; and

- Upon the closeout for construction projects where there has been documented non-compliance with the construction stormwater permit, provide information to the appropriate contracting authority for their action to document incidences of non-compliance;

Post-construction Stormwater Management for New Development and Redevelopment

Controls for stormwater runoff from new developments and redevelopments are included for most projects at Buckley AFB. From a visual inspection during the audit, there was minimal visible downcutting and erosion in the banks of receiving waters. This was likely due to the use of detention facilities for the purpose of minimizing sediment loads, active maintenance of detention facilities, a low degree of impervious cover in the immediate watershed, and a predominantly daylighted stormwater conveyance system. As the base and the surrounding urban area are developed, the flow and water quality in East Tollgate Creek could be more significantly impacted.

Permit conditions require that Buckley AFB must:

- Develop or revise Form 1391 Military Construction Project Data Sheets to require the design of permanent post-construction stormwater control measures for all new and redevelopment construction projects disturbing equal to or greater than one acre. The resulting forms, at a minimum, must require that the permanent post-construction stormwater control measures be designed to retain, detain, infiltrate, or treat runoff from newly and re-developed impervious surfaces in a manner which maintains pre-development hydrology such as runoff volumes, patterns and quality;
- Develop or revise Form 1391 to include a line item for costs associated with the design and installation of permanent stormwater control measures;
- As part of the pre-construction design review process for new and redevelopment construction projects disturbing equal to or greater than one acre, review all projects to ensure (1) that they include the permanent post-construction stormwater control measures required by Form 1391, and (2) that such measures are designed to retain, detain, infiltrate, or treat runoff from newly and re-developed impervious surfaces in a manner which maintains pre-development hydrology such as runoff volumes, patterns and quality;
- When updated, include hydrologic performance specifications and information related to the design and maintenance of permanent stormwater control measures in natural resource plans;

- Include post-construction BMP “as-builts” for all newly installed permanent stormwater control measures in a georeferenced data management system;
- Ensure that all newly installed post-construction stormwater control measures are working as designed prior to closing out contracts;
- Upon closeout of new construction projects, include maintenance requirements for newly installed permanent post-construction stormwater control measures into a long-term maintenance plan (e.g., the recurring work program); and
- Ensure that permanent post-construction stormwater control measures are included in any applicable warranty reviews.

For permanent stormwater control measures to be effective, they must be adequately planned for, installed, and maintained. This permit contains what could be considered cradle-to-grave management of permanent stormwater controls.

Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations

For the purposes of this permit, Buckley AFB has several types of “municipal operations” operated through several tenants. Municipal operations are operated through tenants including the US Marine Corps and the Army National Guard, and municipal operations are also operated by the Air Force. While there are slight differences between facilities operated by the U.S. Marines, the National Guard, and the 140th, consolidation of training and contracted maintenance/recycling/waste transport activities does occur under the umbrella of the 140th as a whole.

Municipal activities include grounds/park maintenance, fleet maintenance, maintenance of the flight line, vehicle washing operations, building maintenance, stormwater system maintenance, street cleaning, materials storage, hazardous materials storage, used oil recycling, and winter road maintenance.

In general, “municipal operations” at Buckley Air Force Base are very well maintained and operated. This is due to several base-wide initiatives which garner certain behaviors (e.g, site-wide SPCC plan, hazardous waste permit). This is also due to a well-established training program. Annual internal evaluations and triennial external evaluations (ESOCAMPs) are also effective in evaluating pollution control measures at all municipal operations. For this purpose, this permit does not contain prescriptive permit conditions which would duplicate or minimize the effectiveness of these existing processes.

Permit conditions require that Buckley AFB must:

- Provide and document annual training for operators at all fleet maintenance facilities and civil engineering shops covering the topics of stormwater runoff impacts and controls and the maintenance of onsite pollution control measures. These trainings can be provided to a single point of contact for each facility for further distribution;
- Consider deicing training if available to minimize the use of and runoff from chemical deicers and traction aggregates;
- Evaluate options for consolidated areas to wash large equipment where practicable;
- Develop and implement a schedule for cleanout of storm sewer inlets in a manner which prevents significant deposition of sediment or other debris to receiving waters;
- Develop and implement a schedule for sweeping streets in a manner which prevents significant deposition of sediment or other debris to receiving waters and provide data or a description of this schedule and its implementation in the SWMP for the facility;
- Include in recurring work contracts (e.g., the recurring work program (RWP)), specifications for maintenance of instream BMPs (e.g., sediment basins, drop structures, trash racks); and
- Include maintenance activities for all permanent stormwater control measures in the Integrated Wastewater Information Management System (IWIMS) or another suitable application when turned over (as-builts, specifications, etc.) to the 460th Civil Engineer Squadron.

The SWMP and additional measures included in this permit are the means through which Buckley AFB complies with the Clean Water Act requirement to control pollutants in the discharges to the maximum extent practicable and comply with the water quality related provisions of the CWA. It is expected that compliance with the conditions in this permit, including the technology based effluent limits, will result in discharges that are controlled as necessary to meet applicable water quality standards. Part 1.3.5 of the permit includes eligibility restrictions for discharges to water quality impaired waterbodies. As written in Part 1.3.5 of the permit, EPA will notify MS4 operators whose discharges are likely to cause or contribute to a water quality impairment, or whose discharges contribute directly or indirectly to a 303(d) listed waterbody. If EPA determines that discharges from the MS4 are causing or contributing to a water quality impairment, that MS4's SWMP must include a section describing how the program will control the discharge of the pollutants of concern and ensure discharges from the MS4 will not cause or contribute to instream exceedances of the water quality standards. This documentation must specifically identify measures and BMPs that will collectively control the discharge of the pollutants of concern.

Monitoring

The Phase II stormwater regulations at 40 CFR §122.34(g) require that small MS4s evaluate program compliance, the appropriateness of the BMPs in their SWMPs and progress towards meeting their measurable goals. Monitoring and assessment activities are included as part of each of the minimum measures of the permit. In addition, Buckley AFB is required to implement a monitoring program which can be used to assess the effectiveness of the MS4 program as whole. The terms of the monitoring program are left open-ended so that Buckley AFB can work with existing internal programs or external programs developed by Aurora or the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District to leverage resources.

Permit conditions require that Buckley AFB must:

- Not later than the end of year three of the permit term, develop a program to evaluate the water quality in East Tollgate Creek, and if deemed necessary by the permittee, Granby Ditch, as it both enters Buckley AFB and leaves Buckley AFB. This program shall at a minimum include evaluations of streambank stabilization, and water quality. The water quality monitoring program indicators such as, but not limited to, chemical monitoring, assessment of macroinvertebrates or other aquatic life, or watershed assessment of river stability and sediment supply, provided that the monitoring program provides meaningful data to evaluate the effectiveness of the stormwater management program. The permittee is responsible for evaluating data for analysis of trends; and
- Send a description of the water quality monitoring program to EPA with the Annual Report for year 3 of this permit term. Programs will be assessed by EPA Region 8 to determine whether the program meets the goals of this permit and whether the data is being collected and reported in compliance with EPA test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136.

Miscellaneous

The permit was public noticed in the Denver Post on September 22, 2010. Comments were received from Buckley Air Force Base, Department of Defense – Department of Air Force on behalf of Buckley AFB, and the Department of Defense. A summary of the significant comments and the responses to those comments are given below:

Comments from Buckley Air Force Base (AFB)

1. **Comment:**
The Air Force is concerned with, among other things, the proposed inclusion in the Draft MS4 Permit of storm water management controls apparently based on the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, Section 438 (EISA 438). The

Department of Defense (DoD) is committed to managing storm water from its facilities' development and redevelopment projects through Low Impact Development (LID) design principles and practices. Attached is the DoD policy which implements EISA 438 storm water requirements using LID techniques and EPA's Technical Guidance Implementing EISA 438. This DoD policy, which was not in place at the time of our previous comments, indicates how the EISA 438 federal storm water requirements for development and redevelopment projects will be met by DoD agencies. To include requirements in an MS4 permit for a federal facility would be redundant, inconsistent with EPA's authority under the Clean Water Act, and would seem to inappropriately hold a federal agency to a standard that would not be applicable to non-governmental entities. Therefore, we request that sections 2.6.1, 2.6.2, and 2.6.3 be deleted from the draft MS4 permit.

Response:

Small MS4s are required to design their programs to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the "maximum extent practicable" (MEP), protect water quality, and satisfy the appropriate water quality requirements of the Clean Water Act. As a small MS4, Buckley AFB is required to implement the six minimum control measures outlined in 40 CFR § 122.34(b)(1-6). One of the six minimum control measures is a post-construction runoff control program. The regulation requires that the Permittee develop, implement, and enforce a program to address discharges of post-construction stormwater runoff from new development and redevelopment areas.

Section 2.6 of the permit contains the requirements that the Buckley AFB MS4 must implement to comply with the post-construction runoff control requirement in 40 CFR § 122.34(b)(5). While the language of this section is similar to that used in EISA 438, EPA has not incorporated EISA 438 into this permit. Rather, EPA has used that statute to inform the Agency's determination, pursuant to Section 402(p)(3)(B)(iii) of the Clean Water Act, as to what controls are required to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable. Based upon information obtained from other federal facilities in Colorado (including other military installations), a review of MS4 permits issued by other EPA Regions, and a review of literature pertaining to current best practices in stormwater control, EPA has determined that Buckley AFB can meet the MEP standard required by the CWA by implementing control measures that would also meet the performance standard established in EISA 438. Thus, EPA has concluded that post-construction stormwater control measures that retain, detain, infiltrate, or treat runoff from newly and re-developed impervious surfaces in a manner which maintains pre-development hydrology such as runoff volumes, patterns and quality are a necessary element of Buckley AFB's MS4 program.

2. **Comment:**
In Section 1.3.2 (the last bullet in the list of allowable non-stormwater discharges), we request that the phrase “during emergency situations” be deleted.

Response:
EPA has deleted “occurring during emergency situations” from the last bullet in the list of allowable non-stormwater discharges.

3. **Comment:**
We also request that section 4.10 be changed to delete the language allowing the State of Colorado to inspect the installation.

Response:
EPA has modified the language in section 4.10 to allow only for State inspectors working on EPA’s behalf pursuant to a resource sharing agreement with EPA to inspect the installation.

**Comments from Department of Defense –
Department of Air Force on behalf of Buckley AFB:**

4. **Comment:**
In Section 1.3.2, delete the phrase “occurring during emergency situations.”

Response:
Repetitive comment. See Response to Comment #2.

5. **Comment:**
Delete Section 2.6.1 in its entirety.

Response:
Repetitive comment. See Response to Comment #1.

6. **Comment:**
Delete Section 2.6.2 in its entirety.

Response:
Repetitive comment. See Response to Comment #1.

7. **Comment:**
Delete Section 2.6.3 in its entirety.

Response:
Repetitive comment. See Response to Comment #1.

8. Comment:
In Section 4.10, exclude the phrase “the State or.

Response:
Repetitive comment. See Response to Comment #3.

9. Comment:
In Section 2.5.6, replace “70% vegetative cover” with “final stabilization.” The rationale is the construction general permit requires that the site achieves final stabilization as a condition of termination; it defines final stabilization in several ways, only one of which is achieving 70% vegetative cover. Given the semi-arid setting, we typically do not achieve that density prior to termination; it typically takes two to three growing seasons to achieve; we utilize other criteria in the definition.

Response:
The EPA Construction General Permit requires final stabilization which is defined in the permit, along with several other criteria, as obtaining 70% vegetative cover. Therefore, EPA has replaced “70% vegetative cover” with “final stabilization” in the permit.

10. Comment:
In Section 2.6.9.3, replace “contracts” with “scopes of work.” The rationale is contracts for work at Buckley AFB are written by many entities and often have multi-year performance periods; making changes to existing contract terms is impractical in many situations. However, a scope of work, which is developed for each project, can be customized to require certain components such as installation of permanent stormwater control measures.

Response:
EPA has replaced “contracts” with “scopes of work” in the permit.

Comments from Department of Defense

11. Comment:
DoD is concerned over the inclusion in section 2.6.1 of the draft MS4 Permit (Post-construction Stormwater Management for New Development and Redevelopment) of stormwater management controls which appear to be based on EISA, Section 438. Specifically, DoD is concerned that EPA is required to complete a separate federal rulemaking to amend the stormwater regulations to implement such a standard, requiring the standard may run afoul with Colorado Water law, that federal facilities will be held to a more stringent standard than

non-federal facilities, that the incorporation of portions of EPA's EISA Section 438 Technical Guidance would make it legally binding in the permit, and lastly, that EPA has eliminated "to the maximum extent technically feasible" from the statutory provision of EISA, Section 438.

Response:

Commenter raises multiple concerns related to EISA, Section 438 and its relationship to this permit. Commenter states that "EPA is required to complete a separate federal rulemaking to amend [its] stormwater regulations to implement [the EISA stormwater control] standard." As mentioned in Comment #1, EPA is not implementing EISA in this permit. Rather, EPA has determined that Buckley AFB can meet the MEP standard required by the CWA by implementing control measures that would also meet the performance standard established in EISA 438. Thus, EPA has concluded post-construction stormwater control measures that retain, detain, infiltrate, or treat runoff from newly and re-developed impervious surfaces in a manner which maintains pre-development hydrology such as runoff volumes, patterns and quality are a necessary element of Buckley AFB's MS4 program. EPA is not required to conduct a rulemaking in making a determination on what is considered MEP for an individual permit.

Commenter states its concern that "requiring the [EISA] standard may run afoul with Colorado Water law." In Colorado, features that slow down flow, reduce the amount of pollutants in the stormwater, and do not put the water to beneficial use may be considered stormwater management or water quality features. The Colorado State Engineer's Office has a policy that states that if water quality features which are designed to detain and/or cause infiltration of precipitation but release the precipitation within 72 hours of the end of the precipitation event meet legitimate stormwater management needs. Even though EPA strongly encourages the use of low impact development/green infrastructure (LID/GI) practices to manage stormwater wherever possible, the permit does not specify which practices must be used. In a situation where use of a particular LID/GI practice would create possible difficulties with previously appropriated water rights (such as rainwater harvesting), other LID/GI practices (vegetative swales, permeable pavers, etc.) may be available to meet permit requirement. In Colorado, for example, where rainwater harvesting and subsequent reuse of rainwater is generally not allowed in urban areas, a bioretention basin that is designed to infiltrate stormwater in a short period of time may accomplish the same endpoint for purposes of stormwater management. Likewise, choosing a traditional stormwater practice that reduces the pollutants via a treat and release system is also an option.

Commenter states its concern that “federal facilities will be held to a more stringent standard than non-federal facilities.” Under Section 402(p)(3)(B)(iii) of the Clean Water Act, all MS4 facility permits are developed using the same standard:

Permits for discharges from municipal storm sewers . . . shall require controls to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable, including management practices, control techniques and system, design and engineering methods, and such other provision as the Administrator or the State determines appropriate for the control of such pollutants.

Thus, federal facilities in Colorado are being held to a no stricter standard than any other MS4 operator. However, given that each MS4 operates in its own unique local hydrologic and geologic environment, conditions in different MS4 permits may vary widely.

MS4 permit conditions are developed to optimize reductions in storm water pollutants on a location-by-location basis. Permit writers consider many factors, including conditions of receiving waters, specific local concerns, MS4 size, climate, implementation schedules, current ability to finance the program, beneficial uses of receiving water, hydrology, geology, and capacity to perform operation and maintenance. When writing the MS4 permit for Buckley, EPA considered these and other factors in determining what permit conditions are necessary for the MS4 to meet the standard contained in Section 402(p)(3)(B)(iii) of the CWA. EPA ultimately concluded that the compliance with the set of conditions included in the permit will ensure that the discharge of pollutants in stormwater from the Buckley AFB MS4 is reduced to the maximum extent practicable. As such, while the actual conditions in the Buckley AFB MS4 permit may be different from other MS4s, Buckley AFB is being held to the same performance standard.

Lastly, commenter has raised the concern that incorporating portions of EPA’s EISA Section 438 Technical Guidance into the permit would make it legally binding and that EPA has eliminated “to the maximum extent technically feasible” from the statutory provision of EISA in language of the permit. As noted above, the Buckley AFB MS4 permit is a free-standing document resulting from EPA’s determination as to what pollution controls are necessary to reduce the discharge of pollutants in stormwater from the MS4 to the maximum extent practicable. EPA drew upon both the Technical Guidance and the EISA statute, among other sources, to inform its MEP determination. Subsequent to this review, EPA exercised its technical judgment and included conditions in the Buckley AFB MS4 permit which use language similar or identical to the language in the

Technical Guidance and EISA. This choice of language falls within the discretion granted to the Agency by Congress in the CWA, and is merely incidental to EPA's determination of the necessary conditions of the permit.

The effective date for this permit will be September 1, 2013, and the expiration date of the permit will be August 31, 2018.